

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,272	01/28/2004	Thorsten Bechert	36403	3450	
116 DEADNE 8 C	7590 03/02/2007	EXAMINER			
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			STEPHENS, JA	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
022 (22.11 (2	,,		3761		
			MAÎL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/766,272	BECHERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761		

	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	·		the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-63</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		,					
atter								
•		Jacqueline F Steph	iens					

Art Unit: 3761

Application No. 10/766,272

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 30 and 49 have been amended to include the limitations of claims 31 and 52, respectively. As stated in the Advisory Action mailed 1/16/07, the new combination of limitations changes the scope of the independent claims 30 and 49, (the dependent claims have been cancelled by way of the proposed amendment filed 2/8/07). However, the proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance as Applicant repeats the argument that Hanke does not teach the presences of depressions in fiber outer surfaces, nor that the metallic silver is attached or bound to the fiber outer surface in the depressions. The examiner has previously rejected claims 31 and 52 by showing that Hanke teaches the sliver particles in a homogenous fluid matrix. Hanke also teaches coating or impregnating the silver-containing fluid matrix on the part of the body care product contacting the skin (paragraph 0023). Hanke further teaches the liquid pervious topsheet and/or the liquid impervious backsheet is coated with the fluid organic matrix containing homogeneously dispersed silver nanoparticles (paragraph 0026). As to the limitation of the depressions Hanke describes the topsheets as being apertured. Therefore, the absorbent article of Hanke comprises silver physically attached exclusively to the surface as claimed. Because the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and amended claims do not place the application in condition for allowance, the amendment will not be entered.